

Consulting Engineers

P.O. Box 5576 Madison, WI 53705 (Telephone) 608-831-5333 (Fax) 608-831-6295 www.sri-msn.com

March 6, 2002

Mr. Gerard Beloin Bluestone, Inc. P.O. Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070

Dear Mr. Beloin:

Upon reviewing the Goffstown Area High School roof specifications and moisture scan sent to me last week, I have determined that the specifications are negligent in several ways...

The roof deck is composed of tectum, a compressed cementituous wood fiberboard that is very sensitive to moisture. Once it is exposed to moisture it loses its structural integrity. Since the roof has been leaking on and off for 30 years, anything less than a complete tear off of both roofs, a close inspection of the roof deck and replacement of all damaged tectum would be an error and omission on the part of the Architect.

These specifications may lead to a localized roof collapse under heavy snow load. Since the new roof will be insulated, it will be much more likely to accumulate a large snow load, thus increasing the possibility of localized collapse.

Another problem encountered with weakened tectum decking is diminished fastener pullout strength. There can be a significant loss of holding power and increase the potential for a blow off of the roof under high winds.

The specification as drafted does not meet current building codes and should certainly not be used for a school re-roofing project.

Sincerely,

Dr. Rene M. Dupuis, Ph.D. P.E.

Structural Research, Inc.

Structural Research, Inc.



National Roofing Consultants, Inc. 118 Lincoln Avenue, Pomona, California 91767 (909) 620-0177 Fax (909) 620-6068

March 28, 2002

Mr. Gerard Beloin Bluestone, Inc. P.O. Box 304 New Boston, New Hampshire 03070

RE: GOFFSTOWN HIGH SCHOOL


Dear Mr. Beloin,

After reviewing the information provided to me it is very clear that a complete tear-off than a new roof should be applied. Often times a recover over an old roof is fine if the existing conditions are acceptable. After reviewing the moisture scan provided which showed extensive moisture in the Tectum decking, and/or insulation it is imperative that the old roof must come off so not to further destroy the existing substrate. Tectum as with any substrate after continual moisture contamination will deteriorate and fail.

Sincerely,

Mark Clonts

President



CRS, Inc.
P. O. Box 2046
3810 Monroe-Ansonville Road
Monroe, NC 28111-2046
(704) 283-8556
FAX (704) 283-1188
www.crsrfg.com

Technology to provide Solutions; Craftsmanship to make them last. Since 1978

26 April 2002

Bluestone, Inc. P. O. Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070 ATTN: Gerard Beloin

RE: Goffstown High School

I typically will make no comment about roof systems and/or roof conditions which I have personally not seen and evaluated, nor will I depend on surveys or other observations made by other individuals to formulate a personal opinion.

I will comment to the effect that I believe attempting to remove only the recover roof system from an existing original roof membrane system is technically unsound and physically impractical, depending on the method of attachment and the roof system components. There appears to be no good reason *not* to remove all existing roofing materials to the roof deck; the cost would be increased only by the disposal charge for the original material which would be offset by the savings in labor of having to deal with attempting to work around the wet, deteriorated original roof membrane system.

If the school board is truly interested in a "long-term" solution to their roofing problems, then the existing structural roof deck should be exposed, evaluated, and repaired/replaced as required to provide a solid substrate for a new roof membrane system - whichever one is selected.

Richard P. Baxter President

Douglas E. Wicks 23 Fawn Lane Basking Ridge, N.J. 07920

April 30, 2002

Mr. Gerald Beloin Bluestone Inc Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070

Dear Mr. Beloin: Ref: Goffstown Area High School reroofing specifications

This letter is in response to your request that I review and comment on the specifications for this project which you sent me. Also included was a roof scan of the areas involved. Based upon these documents, I make the following observations and comments.

TECTUM DECKING

This project involves the re-roofing over existing tectum decks that now have two layers of roofing. This process is called recovering as opposed to replacement with involves removing all the old roofs down to the deck and replacing all old roofing. The specification calls for the removal of one roof-leaving the other lower roof intact- and superimposing the new roof upon the initial roof and fastening the new roof substrate through the old roof into the tectum deck. *This is poor roofing practice and can cause substantial problems.*

BOCA CODE CONSIDERATIONS

A New Hampshire utilizes the BOCA Code for construction. <u>BOCA Section 1512.3</u>
<u>Recovering vs. replacement. Indicates the following: New roof coverings shall not be installed without removing all existing layers of roof coverings where any of the following conditions exist.</u>

- 1. Where the existing roof or roof coverings is watersoaked or has deteriorated to the point that the existing roof or roof covering is not adequate as a base for additional roofing.
- 2. Not applicable
- 3. Where the existing roof has two or more applications of any type of roof covering. It appears that these two conditions, #1 & #3 exist on the project as specified and the specification to remove only one layer of roof covering is an attempt to circumvent this code requirement. Also as this existing roofing system has been leaking and was superimposed over yet another prior roof it is most probable that the roof and tectum decking has deteriorated to the point of being inadequate as a base for the specified system. Thus compliance with the BOCA Code requires removal down to the deck and inspection of the tectum decking prior to any roof replacement
- **B. BOCA Code at 1505.2 Wind resistance** requires wind uplift resistance that is a function of fastener holding pullout resistance. This resistance is attained by the holding power of the fastener in the tectum deck. Tectum that is or has been water soaked has little to no fastener holding power so failure to remove all existing roofing and inspect the tectum deck would

compromise the wind resistance of the newly installed roof. My experience has indicated that only the type of tectum fastener that is sufficient to penetrate through an existing roof-as specified in the Goffstown project, performs poorly in old tectum decking and usually "strips out" the tectum during installation resulting in a poorly fastened roof more subject to wind blowoff. Thus removal of all existing roofs is required.

C. **Removal cost considerations To attempt** to remove only one layer of roofing while leaving a remaining prior roof installed is most likely more expensive and labor intensive than removing both roofs simultaneously. Taking into account that the second roof was firmly attached to the original roof it is extremely difficult to detach the second roof layer from the first-and for what purpose-to circumvent the code requirement? **It doesn't make sense.**

HEALTH & SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

Sick building Syndrome-One important consideration in not removing all of the roofs down to the deck is the health of the students and teaching staff. Leaving a wet existing roof can created an ideal condition for mold growth that could lead to a "sick school syndrome". Mold and fungus can exist and flourish in the warm dark climate created within an old rotted roof system and then can readily infiltrate down into the building-particularly through the porous tectum decking. This air pollution problem has become more evident as air testing of schools for allergens is more prevalent. Removing all of the existing roofs is a very cost-effective way to preclude and minimize any mold and fungus growth within the roof/deck area.

Because of the code considerations and the potential health and safety risks posed by the current specifications, I believe it is paramount that the specifications be redrafted to meet the building code, provide for the safety and health considerations of the students and staff, comply with good roofing practices and to ensure a cost efficient and durable roofing system for the benefit of the taxpayers of Goffstown.

Very truly yours,

Dougles & Wicks

Douglas E. Wicks

Douglas E. Wicks 23 Fawn Lane Basking Ridge, N.J. 07920 908-647-5179

January 14, 2003

Governor Craig Benson State House 107 Main Street Concord, N.H. 03301

Dear Governor Benson:

My reason for writing to you is to alert you about a scandal that has deeply infected and corrupted public bidding in New Jersey and has now spread to your state. It has to do with public contracts involving school roofing. In the mid 90's this bidding corruption was so bad in New Jersey, that I approached the New Jersey Commission of Investigation and advised them of the severity and magnitude of the bid rigging situation in our state involving public school roofing contracts. The SCI took on my challenge and investigated the widespread problem that culminated in a September 2000 report WASTE AND ABUSE PUBLIC SCHOOL ROOFING PROJECTS. Our report found widespread corruption with 59% of the 115 school roofing projects investigated. The bids were being rigged to the detriment of the taxpayers and the safety of the school children. This report only investigated the tip of the iceberg. The actual percentage of rigged bids is much higher. The loss to New Jersey taxpayers was estimated to be in the tens of millions of dollars per year. One school district, Edison, N.J., alone was swindled out of about one million dollars. I suggest that you read the report.

For the past year, I have been working with and advising Mr. Beloin of Bluestone Inc., who like me has uncovered widespread bid rigging and corruption in your state. The corrupt patterns and practices he has uncovered match exactly the corrupt activities found by the SCI in my state. I believe that it would be in your state's public interest for you to meet with him and discuss this in greater detail. With diligence, it can be stopped in its tracks. I don't know if New Hampshire has a mechanism like our SCI but I am sure a similar investigation in your state would uncover the same corruption as was found in New Jersey.

Governor, this bid rigging scam is nationwide, and undermines public confidence in government as well as diverting millions of scarce taxpayer dollars into the pockets of swindlers. I trust you take some time to meet with Mr. Beloin to halt this obscene rape of the taxpayers in your state.

Very truly yours,

Douglas E. Wicks CC: Sen Bob Clegg

Dougles & Wicks

.....

Janet C. Campbell, Architect Two Parker Avenue, No. 302 San Francisco, CA. 94118 Phone: (415) 876-1039

January 17, 2003

Mr. Gerard Beloin Bluestone, Inc. P.O. Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070

RE: Goffstown High School Re-roofing specifications

Dear Mr. Beloin:

I have reviewed the architects re-roofing specifications for the Goffstown Area High School and your Roof Inspection Report.

I find it disturbing when I encounter one of these kind of roofing specifications. They undermine the Building Codes, Public Contract Codes, Bidding Laws, dollars available for education, possibly the physical well-being of the schoolchildren occupying these schools, and certainly the future generations' available dollars for other more productive activities.

The specifications are what is known in the roofing industry as "restrictive proprietary specifications" - they limit the bidding to one product, probably in violation of your state's Public Contract Code. These kinds of specifications can cause the prices to skyrocket, as detailed in a New Jersey State Commission of Investigation Report. This report detailed criminal and civil violations that led to huge cost overruns in public school roofing projects. Many newspaper articles, trade publications articles and television reports have publicized this scam since 1989.

The architect's specifications are vague and never mention the tectum roof decking or the two water soaked roofs attached to it. The Bluestone Roof Inspection Report is detailed and mentions such pertinent information.

As an architect, I would not recommend a client re-roof over such a badly leaking roof, nor use tectum as a base to which a new roofing system would be applied. I have not yet found a roofing manufacturer that would guarantee their roofing systems for the long term, over tectum decking. Instead, I would do the following:

- 1. At the minimum, I would recommend that an independent, RRC (registered, with The Roof Consultant's Institute) roof consultant be employed to independently ascertain and affirm the problems you have found.
- 2. I would expect that the roof consultant to <u>minimally</u> recommend that both built-up roofs should be removed, the tectum decking closely inspected for water damage and all damaged panels replaced prior to re-roofing, if kept.
- 3. A structural engineer should be employed to examine and make recommendations as to the tectum removal and/or replacement.

Anything less probably violates your building codes. Can a fire rating be maintained under such conditions? Common sense dictates that actions outlined above be taken. With the kind of snow loads that your state has, I could not in good conscience see that any less recommendation be made, in order to protect lives of the children inside those buildings.

I would want the Manager of Roofing at ASTM to examine the testing requirements and certify whether or not the tests quoted are timely, are quoted accurately as to the range, not particular number within a range, to be met, and are applicable to the product quoted with the test.

I would want outside, independent accounting firms to make the certifications that may be required of manufacturers in the spec. It would have to be one of the big accounting firms, and not Arthur Andersen. I would never accept a manufacturer's certification that they had certain plants, certain financial strengths or had manufactured products and not relabeled another manufacturer's products.

I would also want to know what kind of guarantees versus maintenance agreements were being offered as part of these restrictive proprietary specifications. *I would want it in writing, prior to the bidding*.

I have no doubt that Bluestone produces an exemplary product, if the descriptions of it are accurate. It is necessary that in public school roofing projects, or any taxpayer-funded project, we should allow open competition as provided by law, and find ways to get the best products at the best prices, and not allow the kind of price gouging, bid rigging schemes found across the country in school roofing projects.

I wish you luck in your endeavors to open the markets in your state and region.

If anyone you contact wishes to speak to me to find out more about this nationwide scam, please do not hesitate to give them my name as one of many to contact.

Sincerely,

Janet C. Campbell, Architect in California and Georgia

Alumnus, Georgia Tech, BS 1975 and M. Arch. 1977

Jan C. Campall, Aschilod

Enclosures

JOINT BOARD OF LICENSURE AND CERTIFICATION STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

LOUISE LAVERTU EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 57 Regional Drive Concord, N.H. 03301-8518

Telephone 603-271-2219 Fax 271-7928 • 271-6990



PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS LAND SURVEYORS FORESTERS PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGISTS NATURAL SCIENTISTS

May 24, 2004

Mr. Gerard Beloin Bluestone, Inc. PO Box 304 New Boston, New Hampshire 03070

Dear Mr. Beloin:

The Board of Licensure for Architects has reviewed the allegations contained in the complaint you filed against licensed architect Paul Hemmerich. The Board has assigned the complaint to the Administrative Prosecution Unit of the Attorney General's office for investigation. We will contact you when any information becomes available.

The Board of Architects also reviewed your complaint against Gale Associates. The Board referred your complaint to the Board of Professional Engineers for their review because Gale Associates is a certified engineering business organization. The Engineer's Board will consider the merits of your complaint at their June 18th meeting.

If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Louise Lavertu Executive Director

JOINT BOARD OF LICENSURE AND CERTIFICATION STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

LOUISE LAVERTU EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

57 Regional Drive Concord, N.H. 03301-8518 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS LAND SURVEYORS FORESTERS PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGISTS NATURAL SCIENTISTS

Telephone 603-271-2219 Fax 271-7928 • 271-6990



June 21, 2004

Mr. Gerard Beloin Bluestone, Inc. PO Box 304 New Boston, New Hampshire 03070

Dear Mr. Beloin:

The Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers has reviewed the allegations contained in the complaints you filed against licensed Professional Engineer, <u>Jeffrey Trexler</u>, Gale Associates and Miller Engineering and Testing. The Board has assigned the complaints to the Administrative Prosecution Unit of the Attorney General's office for investigation. We will contact you when any information becomes available.

If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Louise Lavertu

Executive Director

TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964

Special Agent Don Hale Federal Bureau of Investigation 15 Constitution Drive Bedford, NH 03110 603-472-2224 bedford.bs@fbi.gov

Dear Special Agent Don Hale,

My name is Tim Hogan. I was a member of the Goffstown Area High School Building Committee. I was present at the January 30th 2002 GAHSBC meeting where Mr. Beloin publicly accused the Architect Paul Hemrick and Superintendent Daryl Lockwood of negligence for failing to address the tectum decking problem. I believe I was the only one to vote to allow Mr. Beloin to speak at the meeting. I remember him being dismissed by Dr. Lockwood, Architect Paul Hemrick and 1 other member of the GAHSBC.

Since that time, I've met with Mr. Beloin and I believe he has raised legitimate concerns about the structural integrity of the GAHS roof structure. The information about tectum decking presented to me by Mr. Beloin should have been fully vetted during the GAHSBC meetings. I don't recall tectum decking ever being discussed.

I believe that there is a good possibility that this information was deliberately withheld from the voting members of the GAHSBC. Had I been fully informed about the problems with tectum decking, I'm sure I would have voted differently and or asked more questions. If Mr. Beloins claims are true, (he has shown me much information that seem tough to dispute) then the GAHS re-roofing project violated numerous building and safety codes and the structure is unsafe.

According to Mr. Beloin, he has pointed this out to the Goffstown School Board on more than one occasion and they have refused to act. This could not have happened with the Architect acting alone. The General Contractor and Roofing Contractor know or should have known of the building code violations.

My information has it that this is racketeering and is a Federal crime that falls under the jurisdiction of the FBI.

I'm willing to come in for an interview to help move this investigation forward.

Sincerely,

Tim Hogan

Sentigen

Douglas E. Wicks 23 Fawn Lane Basking Ridge, N.J. 07920 908-647-5179

February 17, 2005

Governor John Lynch State House 107 Main Street Concord, N.H. 03301

Dear Governor Lynch: Ref: Stopping Public Corruption

My reason for writing to you is to alert you about a scandal that has deeply infected and corrupted public bidding in New Jersey and has now spread to your state. It has to do with public contracts involving school roofing and construction. In the mid 90's this bidding corruption was so bad in New Jersey, that I approached the New Jersey Commission of Investigation and advised them of the severity and magnitude of the bid rigging situation in our state involving public school roofing contracts. The SCI took on my challenge and investigated the widespread problem that culminated in a September 2000 report WASTE AND ABUSE PUBLIC SCHOOL ROOFING PROJECTS. Our report found widespread corruption with 60% of the 115 school roofing projects investigated. "The bids were being rigged to the detriment of the taxpayers and the safety of the school children." This report only investigated the tip of the iceberg. The actual percentage of rigged bids is much higher. The loss to New Jersey taxpayers was estimated to be in the tens of millions of dollars per year. One school district, Edison, N.J., alone was swindled out of about one million dollars. I suggest that you read the report.

For the past few years, I have been working with and advising Mr. Beloin of Bluestone Inc., who like me has uncovered widespread public sector bid rigging and corruption in your state. The corrupt patterns and practices he has uncovered match exactly the corrupt activities proven to be true by the SCI in my state. *The SCI is the same investigative body tasked with investigating organized crime in the state of NJ.* I believe that it would be in your state's public interest for you to meet with him and discuss this in greater detail. With diligence, it can be stopped in its tracks. I don't know if New Hampshire has a mechanism like our SCI but I am sure a similar investigation in your state would uncover the same corruption as was found in New Jersey.

Governor, this bid rigging scam is nationwide. It undermines public confidence in government, builds overpriced schools with substandard construction and diverts millions of scarce education taxpayer dollars into the pockets of swindlers. I trust you take some time to meet with Mr. Beloin to look at what he has uncovered. **I** am very impressed. With much less information that Mr. Beloin has documented, I was able to get the SCI to initiate an investigation that resulted in the proof that 60% of school roofing contracts in NJ were rigged. Much of this is substandard construction that does not meet the building codes. **These are schools that we are talking about.**

My understanding is that the State of NH is currently facing a \$300 million deficit. I suggest that you meet with Mr. Beloin and ask him to provide you with information that will help you reduce public construction costs to help cut millions from your state budget now and cut many millions more down the road without sacrificing services.

Very truly yours,

Douglas E. Wicks

Dougles & Wicks

JOINT BOARD OF LICENSURE AND CERTIFICATION STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

LOUISE LAVERTU EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

57 Regional Drive Concord, N.H. 03301-8518 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS LAND SURVEYORS FORESTERS PROFESSIONAL GEOLOGISTS NATURAL SCIENTISTS

Telephone 603-271-2219 Fax 271-7928 • 271-6990



July 11, 2005

Mr. Gerard Beloin Bluestone, Inc. PO Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070

Dear Mr. Beloin:

To date, the Board of Architects has not received any information from the investigator regarding the investigation into the complaint you filed against licensed architect, Paul Hemmerich.

Sincerely,

Louise Lavertu Executive Director

Linda Beloin P.O. Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070 603-487-5049

September 19, 2005

To the New Hampshire Supreme Court Justices:

Since winter of 2002, we have received hundreds of hang up telephone calls. We have 3 telephone lines in the house, one home line and two business lines. The hang up calls would often come one after another on each line. The home phone would often ring repeatedly in the middle of the night. Our bedtime routine included disconnecting the telephones. They stopped this winter at the beginning of February.

Gerard did not tell me about the personal death threats against him until recently because he did not want me to worry. In April, Gerard let me listen to the recordings of the death threats delivered by Mr. Janigan acting as a personal messenger for Prosecutor Kerry Steckowych. This is frightening. These tapes need to be considered in a Court of law in order to protect him. Gerard made these recordings in self-defense.

Please accept my husbands appeal and allow him to defend himself in Court.

Sincerely,

Linda Beloin

Linda Bloin

Douglas E. wicks 23 Fawn Lane Basking Ridge, N.J. 07920

September 20, 2005

The State of NH Supreme Court One Noble Drive Concord, NH 03301-6160

Justices of the New Hampshire Supreme Court

Your Honors:

I am Douglas E. Wicks a citizen of New Jersey who became acquainted with Mr. Beloin because of his interest in my involvement in uncovering corrupt bidding and contracting schemes here in New Jersey. I had discovered widespread corruption in the school roofing replacement area as far back as the mid 1980's. As I watched this corruption spread unabated and unchecked by the authorities, in 1998, I reached out to the New Jersey State Commission of Investigation [SCI] and, through my efforts, convinced this Commission to investigate the widespread corruption in the area of school roofing projects.

What this revealed was a massive scheme that cost us taxpayers of New Jersey tens of millions of dollars in overcharges brought about by a massive swindle involving corrupt architects, roofing material manufacturers and complicit school authorities. It all is well documented in the SCI report of September 2000 relating to waste fraud and abuse in school roofing projects.

Mr. Beloin, in my view, has detected the very same corrupt patterns and practices involved in the SCI report occurring in New Hampshire. I believe that his persistence and investigation into these areas has caused corrupt officials to take steps to silence him. It is also my impression that these steps involve direct threats upon his well-being and possibly his life. I believe that these recordings involved in the issue before your court are his attempt to protect his life and limb.

The Constitutions most basic right is that of protection of life. The very basis of our constitutional system came from the teachings of Montesquieu who observed "The political Liberty of the subject is the tranquility of mind arising from the opinion each person has of his safety. In order to have this liberty, it is requisite that government be so constituted as one man need not be afraid of another. I truly believe Mr. Beloin has a justified fear arising from events that surround his uncovering the corruption in the roofing project in his school district that he seeks to protect himself with by the use of his recordings. It seems a transparent abuse of power for the authorities to persist on punishing him for this act. Just what are they so concerned about to go through such corrupt lengths to conceal and keep from public view?

Mr. Beloin has informed me that he has given my name and phone number to the Chief Investigator at the NH Attorney Generals Office so he could contact me to verify Mr. Beloin's claims. No one from the NH Attorney Generals Office has ever contacted me for an interview.

It is up to you to protect your citizens from such governmental abuse. I trust you live up to your oaths.

Yours for a just society,

Dougho & Wicks

Douglas E. Wicks

EXHIBIT C

The State of NH Supreme Court One Noble Drive Concord NH 03301-6160

9-21-2005

RE8 Case# 2005-0481

Mr Aerard Belows asked me to write a short letter to the Court to enform them of the fact that I have never been interviewed concerning the allegations make ly Ar Deloen on the bidding process involving the Affatian High Achoo! Construction project. I was a member of the building committee. The looking at all the information.

Aerard has presented to me I believe that his allegations have meret. Ca a Arffolium High School Building Committee menter that believes Mr. Below, I was expecting to be interviewed especially sence I wrote a letter to the FBJ offereng to come en for an interview. I was never contacted by anyone.

Jeneardy M Loga, 152 Locust Hell Rd Affetown 1# 03045

Mr. Gerard Beloin Bluestone, Inc. P.O. Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070

Dear Mr. Beloin:

I am writing this letter as a matter of continuing concern at the "shutting up" and death threats received by those of us, across the country, who have decided to speak out about the ongoing, widespread and deliberate Restrictive Proprietary Specifications scam in roofing, specifically targeting schools. From our continuing contact over the past few years, it is clear to me that you have unearthed a huge scam operating in your area very similar, if not identical to what is occurring elsewhere across the United States.

There are at least a couple of hundred folks who contacted me from 24 states, out of the blue, after it got out in the roofing industry, that finally, an architect had discovered the scam and was doing something about it. This is the first time in the 40 year operation of The Scam. Across the country The Scam has been so successful that in some places, it has proliferated out of control.

In my case and that of many others in the roofing industry who have tried to do something about The Scam, we seem to be operating against a highly organized ring of criminals and thugs in sheep's clothing. There is no other way to describe what is going on.

It is horrific – particularly with what has happened to the educational system in our country – used as a WPA Project to engraft numerous school board members, facilities personnel and others with wonderful "lifestyle enhancements". The list of what people have told me they have seen being given included leased Mercedes, Jeeps, trips to Europe, a \$3,000 per hole golfing hole gambling losses by tournament-holding manufacturer's reps, parts and additions to houses, cash in brown bags, electronics, etc.

Those involved in the kickbacks for the Restrictive Proprietary Specifications Scam ought to be thrown in jail for what they have done to us! How can children ever get a good education when they can't get good books – or well-paid great teachers –when the money goes into roofs that are 18 to 20 times the normal price. *How could anyone in good conscience not speak out about this?*

In my case, this is just some of the following that has occurred:

- 1. A woman architect 20 years my senior, frustrated after years of observing illegal contracting in our department at the University of California, was horrifically run out of the department for fighting the problem, then got ill, called me to beg me, sobbing for an hour and a half, to do something about "...all that illegal contracting/sole-sourcing at the University of California in our department." I later heard that the very next day, her neighbors in Mill Valley, Marin County, California heard something very loud, came running to find her dead.
- 2. Later that year, the head of the 110 + buildings at the campus told me that the reason I could not do normal specifications, and save them a ton of money, was

because of "payola" - twice - by rubbing his fingers together - after taking me out to an area no one could see what his reply to my questions were....

3. Doctor friends at the University of California, San Francisco, specifically told me I had to get into the FBI – understanding how those scams were impacting the medical research dollar and medical students' tuition costs. Unfortunately, unless you are involved in the scams, the FBI does not investigate anything. See their deposition quoted in the SF Weekly, Feb. 26, 2003 article, "The Fix is In", at:

http://www.sfweekly.com/issues/2003-02-26/feature.html/1/index.html

- I have suffered all sorts of retaliation, despite being put in a book, American 4. Architects (1990) by my colleagues on the Georgia Board of the American Institute of Architects, and despite many other honors and awards – not sought.
 - Work degraded to archives. a.
 - Then fired. h.
 - Then lied to in writing by the University about their grievance process c. not printed in any of their Human resources manuals.
 - d. Caught my former boss, heavily involved in the scam, badmouthing me to potential employers – by the firm that was reported on for doing such in the Wall Street Journal.
 - Caught former buddies of the guys involved at UCSF stopping me from e. getting work from private clients in a town on the peninsula, here in the San Francisco Bay Area.
 - f. My case has been read into the Los Alamos hearings in the US Congress as "A prime example of the lack of accountability of UC (the University of California)" in early 2003. The Congressional aides asked me to find out if the same roofing scam was going on at Los Alamos. I put down the phone, called the head of a Task Force in Albuquerque looking into Garland Roofing locking down all the work in their schools, and he told me who was being sole-sourced - Tremco - and which facility manager seemed to be tied into them and what he was known for getting in kickbacks. It took me all of five minutes, and I called the Congressional Aides in Washington, DC and gave them the answer – almost within 10 minutes of their request. They were shocked at the speed – but I wasn't. So I rechecked it, on my own, through contacts in the Midwest Roofing Contractors Association, down into their contacts in Texas and into New Mexico – and came up with the same exact information – and more goodies gotten being described – and reported it back to the Committee.
 - DEATH THREATS RECEIVED BY PHONE (LEFT ON g. ANSWERING MACHINE) AND WITH TWO "GOONS" FOLLOWING ME AROUND AT NIGHT, IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD, DELIBERATELY TRYING TO FRIGHTEN ME. – AND ALL DONE ONE WEEK BEFORE EACH OF THE **RULINGS IN THE LOWER COURTS.** (Very Interesting Timing....)

Rational Question:

How did they know the rulings were coming out, what their rulings would be, and trying to frighten me off going forward? *How*?)

A former friend, a former President of the School Board in San Francisco,

and head of their Facilities Committee, had me go to a café with her, and took me outside and looked around to see if no one was around. She then proceeded to tell me the following:

"I was called to the Tim Tronson Grand Jury"
[He was indicted for stealing millions from the Facilities budget]. Then she said, "They asked me if kickbacks were going on, and I said 'Everybody does it!'"

Then she asked me "...if I had a will", and "...was I going to be cremated?" In rapid order. Another <u>"Subtle" Death Threat/Scare</u> tactic.....

i. ETC.

To the Justices:

There is no doubt that Gerard Beloin is outspoken. You have to be, to survive the incredible and ongoing cover-ups.

<u>Worse, I believe him about the death threats.</u> Why else would a prosecutor try to snatch the evidence away? Who's involved with what there? Good grief!

The ongoing scam in roofing, and specifically in the area of school roofing projects is well-documented. Since June of 1989, when a roof consultant in Royal Oak, Michigan, had published in Roofing Contractor magazine an article on the Proprietary Specification Bidding Scam, there have been approximately 60 articles on the subject and three known TV programs on it – on KOB TV (ABC) in Albuquerque in May, 2001, WLWT TV (NBC) in Cincinnati, Ohio in May 2001 and February, 2003, and News 12 in Edison, NJ in 2003.

Further, the New Jersey State Commission of Investigations' Report, "Waste and Abuse in School Roofing Projects", Sept, 2000 (done 1999-2000) is a huge expose and completely accurate on the issue. It is at:

http://www.state.nj.us/sci/school.shtm

Specifically, their "Generic Guide to the Scam" is very informative.

The Midwest Roofing Contractor's Association did a series of articles on the scam, and one in particular describes the scam, based on Tremco's own in-house training documents. (Garland came out of Tremco, as did WP Hickman – the three reported scam manufacturers, targeting school bond funds). The MRCA can give you their series of articles. The MRCA is at:

1-800-497-6722 and the author was L. B. Morris of Sellers & Marquis Roofing Kansas City, MO.

The California State Auditor did an Investigative Report in my case, finally, as of Sept. 17, 2003. They roundly criticized an unrepentant University of California for allowing the illegally solesourced roofing contracts. There may be more activity upcoming, as a State Assemblyman has caught on and is now demanding action. The report is at:

"Investigative Reports, September 17, 2003"
http://www.bsa.ca.gov/bsa/pdfs/I2003-2.pdf and look at Chapter 5 (4 pages)

The justices in New Hampshire have a really pivotal stance they can take here to help everyone. This is not just a local scam - it is nationwide. The NH Supreme Court should hear your Appeal to get the facts out without interference from a prosecutor trying to squelch evidence of a major crime.

Gerard, you have had to do more than the ordinary to get this information out there. I know you are hot on the issue and going after it has gotten you in trouble with the very guys involved with the crimes. They are trying to make you look crazy. I'm telling the Justices that this is happening across the Country. You are not crazy and you are not alone.

And as you know, I am keeping others apprised in other states of what is happening with you. It's important, to stop the cover-ups.

You are not crazy, and if the Justices don't see it, don't look into the facts, and the investigations going on around the country – they do a disservice to all honest taxpayers and allow an entrenchment of corruption as the way of doing business.

After all, do they want to wind up in the same fiscal boat California is in?

I hope the Justices look into protecting your freedoms, Gerard, and that you get competent legal help in your area. This scam is huge, ongoing, and has certainly raped the school bond funds wherever it operates – including New Hampshire.

By ruling in your favor, many of the good folks in the roofing industry who are afraid for their own lives, jobs, homes and families will be heard. These Justices could be leaders to all of us here in the US, in this arena.

Sincerely,

Janet C. Campbell, Architect

Two Parker Avenue, No. 302

San Francisco, CA. 94118

(415) 261-2613

Alumnus, Georgia Tech, 1975 BS (Architecture)

Alumnus, Georgia Tech, 1977, Master of Architecture, Six Yr. Professional Degree

Alumnus, Georgia State, 1989 Two-Yr. MBA, MS in Real Estate

and C. Campall, Sochelas

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SUPREME COURT

In Case No. 2005-0481, Kerry P. Steckowych v. Gerard Beloin, the court on October 20, 2005, issued the following order:

On September 8, 2005, the defendant was ordered to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed, because the Hillsborough County Superior Court – North had filed a copy of a notice of decision in this matter, which indicated that the plaintiff's motion for voluntary non-suit was granted on August 26, 2005. In response, the defendant stated that he seeks to appeal the order of the Hillsborough County Superior Court "that the tapes could not be used in any court of law." The order attached to the notice of appeal, however, denied the plaintiff's request for temporary injunctive relief. Hence the order does not specifically enjoin the defendant from taking any action whatsoever.

Consequently, because the underlying matter has been concluded by the non-suit and because the issue purportedly raised by the defendant is not before us in this matter, the appeal is dismissed.

Appeal dismissed.

This order is entered by a single justice (Galway, J.). See Rule 21(7).

Eileen Fox, Clerk

Distribution:

Clerk, Hillsborough County Superior Court North 05-E-0111 Honorable Philip P. Mangones Mr. Gerard Beloin Steven M. Gordon, Esquire Irene Dalbec, Supreme Court File

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY

Hillsborough County Superior Court

State of New Hampshire

V

Gerard Beloin 05-S-1305-7

I, Douglas E. Wicks on my oath depose and say:

- 1. I am Douglas E. Wicks
- 2. I reside at 23 Fawn Lane Basking Ridge, NJ. 07920
- 3. I am acquainted with Gerard Beloin and have been for about four years and am aware of the corruption he has uncovered in New Hampshire involving the rigging of bids for school construction projects and the threats and abuses being made against him to attempt to intimidate him into silence.
- 4. I was instrumental in inducing the New Jersey State Commission of Investigation [SCI] to launch their investigation into Waste Fraud and Abuse in public school

roofing projects that resulted in the report <u>WASTE AND</u> <u>ABUSE PUBLIC SCHOOL ROOFING PROJECTS of</u>

September 2000.

5. This SCI report outlines the patterns and practices used by corrupt material manufacturers and contractors, aided and abetted by corrupt public officials, to swindle taxpayers of their hard earned taxes by criminally abusing the public bidding process with rigged bids.

- 6. The corrupt and criminal patterns and practices revealed in the SCI report are very similar and, in some instances, the same in nature to those uncovered by Gerard Beloin in New Hampshire.
- 7. Since the publication of the SCI report, I have been contacted by people throughout the United States with multiple situations of corrupt public bidding that are identical to those patterns and practices disclosed in the SCI report and uncovered by Gerard in New Hampshire. This indicates to me that this corruption is an organized criminal activity extending over state borders and is national in scope.

State of New Jersey Commission of Investigation



WASTE AND ABUSE:
PUBLIC SCHOOL
ROOFING PROJECTS

Laugh A Wich

September 2000

- 8. One disturbing pattern, that the SCI found in New Jersey, and that appears to be evident in New Hampshire is "state officials, although warned of abuses for many years, failed to take action" SCI report page 8
- 9. I believe that the critical issue of this case is not what is on the transcriptions but rather why the effort to conceal the abuse and corruption of the public bidding and contracting processes that Gerard Beloin has uncovered in New Hampshire to the extent that public officials would make, shield and condone the threats to this man's life by those holding positions of trust in public office.

On this of February 2006
Douglas E. Wicks appeared before me
And signed this document

Venney Charay

JENNIFER A. SCHNARR NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY Commission Broties 5/19/2008

DECLARATION OF JANET CAMPBELL

I, Janet Campbell, do declare under threat of perjury:

I am an Architect, practicing in the State of California. I graduated from Georgia Tech, B.S. and M.ARCH, in 1975 and 1977. I have worked in the field since 1977. Part of that time was spent working at a large architectural firm in Atlanta, Georgia, where I was trained in roofing and waterproofing for two years by a founder of the International Roofing and Waterproofing Consultants' Association (IRWCA), Mr. Matt Hitlin, on a five-acre sized series of roofs for a \$200 million Corporate Headquarters Building (1981-1983).

I have been acquainted with Gerard Beloin for some years. I am aware that his situation, although more extreme, has the same brutal "Shutting up" elements from many quarters as those I encountered from the roofing industry and associated others after my report to the FBI about illegally restrictive proprietary specifications in roofing and other products at the University of California, San Francisco.

What those playing the same – apparently deadly - games with Gerard may not know is just how many people across the country know this scam is going on – because the use of illegally sole-sourced roofing products in schools is going on in their areas.

The "Shutting Up" techniques used in my case have included:

- 1. Death threats, one left on tape, two one week before each of the lower courts' rulings in my case;
- 2. Ex-bosses caught on tape bad-mouthing me to potential employers, and not being able to get steady employment with necessary medical insurance due to having had cancer for five years;
- 3. Employers specifying to the three known "scam" manufacturers (term is from the New Jersey State Commission of Investigation Report) hiring me to get me involved with more of the same manufacturer's specs on other school /public works projects or "dirty me" in some way (three at minimum); etc.

My report to the FBI in on or about January 1997 was about illegally restrictive proprietary construction products specifications. I made the report after a Manager of Building Managers at my place of employment, the University of California, San Francisco (UC), indicated clearly, twice in one conversation, that "payola" was involved and that was why I "was not being allowed to do normal specifications". In fact, I had been ordered in writing to sole-source roofing specifications to one manufacturer: Tremco.

The FBI did find, and so stated in their deposition in my case [Campbell vs. Board of Regents], that the specifications were written to limit competition illegally, and did notify University officials at their Office of the President. Not only did those University of California officials never ask me what was going on, they fired me in a "two-step" layoff process for whistleblowing. Despite pleadings from UC otherwise, I did attempt over five years prior to that report to the FBI to find a "Safe Haven" to go to – to no avail.

There is still no safe haven, ongoing and acknowledged as such by the University of California's attorneys to me earlier this year – just weeks ago. Gerard Beloin is also encountering the same lack of "safe haven", and over the exact same or very crimes he has also discovered.

The term "criminal acts" is a term given to the scam activities by a California Supreme Court Justice to the University of California's attorney in my Oral Hearings, in Dec., 2004, in San Diego, CA. He stated "You know these were criminal acts, don't you?" as he stood and pointed his finger down, jabbing it repeatedly, at the UC Attorney, who hung his head and acknowledged that they were.

Yet there was no safe haven for me, and never has been. Will there be a "safe haven" for Gerard Beloin?

Signed by:

Janet C. Campbell, Architect

Date: February 27, 2006

AFFIDAVIT

The State of Indiana County of Clinton

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared who, being by me duly sworn, upon oath, stated the following facts:

I am over the age of eighteen years, and I am of sound mind and competent to make this affidavit. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this affidavit, and I do solemnly swear, or affirm, that the following facts are true:

I, Diana Vice, am associated with Taxpayers United for Fairness, a tax watchdog organization in Indiana. Taxpayers United for Fairness is fighting illegal bidding practices that have been occurring in Indiana for public works projects, particularly in the area of school roofing. Our group is engaged in litigation due to the corrupt and deceptive practices that have occurred in our state.

Mr. Gerard Beloin has indicated that similar activities have occurred in New Hampshire, and in light of what is going on in my state, I do not see any reason not to believe his claims.

I have read the above and foregoing statements and the same is true and correct.

Diana Vice

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me, the undersigned authority, this 28 day of

feb., 2006.

NOTARY PUB

Diana L. Vice 4398 W. 700 N. Mulberry, IN 46058



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK LEGAL DEFENSE FOUNDATION, INC. 8001 BRADDOCK ROAD • SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

Stefan Gleason, Vice President

(703) 321-8510/Fax (703) 321-9613 www.nrtw.org

April 19, 2006

Ms. Alice S. Fisher
Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Re: Corruption of New Hampshire public officials

Dear Ms. Fisher:

In late March, Gerard Beloin, a construction contractor from New Hampshire, contacted the National Right to Work Foundation for assistance. According to Mr. Beloin, there is deep corruption amongst state and local officials related to public contracting in and around Manchester – specifically, extortion, threats, and a possible murder.

The Foundation examined the documents, correspondence, and recordings forwarded by Mr. Beloin (enclosed) that detail his investigation into various public officials.

While the Foundation cannot offer assistance to Mr. Beloin because the connections to compulsory unionism are at best peripheral – and because the Foundation exists solely to provide free legal assistance to employees whose rights have been violated by compulsory unionism – we have concluded this information should be passed along to the DOJ.

Mr. Beloin has indicated that he has had contact with someone in the New Hampshire U.S. Attorney's office, but no action has yet been taken. Meanwhile, local law enforcement officials have allegedly turned down Mr. Beloin's requests to intervene because they "want to keep their jobs."

We ask that your office review the enclosed information. If what Mr. Beloin alleges is true, there may well be cause for DOJ intervention.

Sincerely,

Stefan Gleason

AFFIDAVIT

The Sate of New Hampshire County of Coos
BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared who, being by me duly sworn, upon oath, stated the following facts:
I'm over the age of eighteen years, and I am of sound mind and competent to make this affidavit. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this affidavit, and I do solemnly swear, or affirm, that the following facts are true: In the summer of 2004, deep voiced men called me on the phone looking for my son Gerard. They wanted to know what his phone number was. The way they spoke to me frightened me and led me to believe that Gerard was in some kind of danger. I called him right away to make sure he was alright and to ask him if he was in some kind of trouble. He told me that it was not him that was in trouble but the callers. He did not tell me anything else. He told me to keep the doors locked at night and that he would be taking actions to protect me and his father.
"I have read the above and foregoing statements and the same is true and correct."
Please use my: _X full name
initials only
Marie M. Beloin Klarie - Am Beloin
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me, the undersigned authority, this
Heili Lawton NOTARY PUBLIC
Please print your name and address below HEIDI LAWTON, Notary Public My Commission December 3, 2008
Heid Lawton
Colebrok, Mt 03576

AFFIDAVIT

The Sate of New Hampshire County of Hillsborough
BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared who, being by me duly sworn, upon oath, stated the following facts:
I'm over the age of eighteen years, and I am of sound mind and competent to make this
affidavit. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this affidavit, and I do solemnly swear, or
affirm, that the following facts are true: Starting in the winter of 2002, we started receiving hang up calls at our home. They numbered in the hundreds. The phones rang at all hours of the day and night. We have 3 phone lines and 4 telephone numbers. The hang up calls would often come one after the other on each different phone number. During the summer of 2004, the hang up calls increased in number. The phone calls were so pervasive that our sleep was regularly interrupted. The hang up calls stopped suddenly in February 2005.
"I have read the above and foregoing statements and the same is true and correct."
Please use my: _X full name
initials only
Linda J. Beloin
Linda J Beloin
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me, the undersigned authority, this 15th day of August, 2006
Kemberly M. Merrion NOTARY PUBLIC
Please print your name and address below KIMBERLY M. MERRON
Notary Public - New Hampshire My Commission Expires October 6, 2009
118 Dane Rd

New Boston, NH 03070

JUDD GREGG NEW HAMPSHIRE

COMMITTEES:

BUDGET, Chairman
APPROPRIATIONS

HEALTH, EDUCATION, LABOR AND PENSIONS

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-2904 (202) 224-3324

> Reply to: Concord Office

OFFICES:

125 NORTH MAIN STREET CONCORD, NH 03301 (603) 225-7115

41 HOOKSETT ROAD, UNIT 2 MANCHESTER, NH 03104 (603) 622-7979

> 60 PLEASANT STREET BERLIN, NH 03570 (603) 752-2604

16 PEASE BOULEVARD PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 (603) 431–2171

October 13, 2006

Mr. Gerard Beloin Post Office Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070

Dear Mr. Beloin:

Thank you for your letter dated October 2, 2006 informing Senator Gregg that you feel you are being harassed by Goffstown officials for reporting public corruption you believe occurred on the Goffstown School construction project.

We appreciate your taking the time to bring this to his attention and we have passed the information along to the U.S. Attorney for his review and requested that his office contact you directly should they need additional information. I hope this will prove helpful.

Sincerely,

Carol Carpenter

State Director

CC:gd

JOHN E. SUNUNU

NEW HAMPSHIRE

DEPUTY WHIP

BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

FOREIGN RELATIONS

GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE



60 PLEASANT STREET BERLIN, NH 03570 (603) 752-6074

50 TREMONT SQUARE CLAREMONT, NH 03743 (603) 542-4872

1589 ELM STREET, SUITE 3 MANCHESTER, NH 03101 (603) 647-7500

ONE NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, SUITE 120 PORTSMOUTH, NH 03801 (603) 430-9560

111 RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20510 (202) 224–2841

October 31, 2006

Mr. Gerard Beloin Post Office Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070

Dear Mr. Beloin,

Senator Sununu has asked me to respond to your letter dated October 2, 2006, regarding your allegations of public corruption you believe occurred on the Goffstown School construction project. I want to apologize for the delay in responding to you pertaining to this matter, but your letter was not received in our Washington office until October 26 after going through clearance checks. Since 9-11, all mail sent to Washington must go through clearance checks prior to being forwarded to any senator or congressman's office.

Senator Sununu conveys his appreciation to you for bringing your concerns about this project to his attention. He has forwarded this matter to United States Attorney Thomas Colantuono's office for his review. The Senator has asked Attorney Colantuono to respond directly to you regarding this matter.

Thank you for bringing this situation to our attention.

Kathy Schneiderat

Sincerely

Director of Constituent Services

THOMAS G. TANCREDO

6TH DISTRICT, COLORADO

COMMITTEE ON RESOURCES

COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS





Washington, **BC** 20515-0606

February 20, 2007

Mr. Daniel J. Bryant Assistant Attorney General for Legislative Affairs U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room 1145 Washington, DC 20530

Dear Mr. Bryant

Enclosed you will find copies of correspondence between Gerard Beloin and Senator Judd Gregg's office regarding Mr. Beloin's concerns about potential corruption associated with a local school construction project.

You will find the correspondence self-explanatory. I would appreciate your checking into the matter for me and responding to Mr. Beloin.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Tom Tancredo, M.C.

WASHINGTON OFFICE:

1130 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515 MAIN: (202) 225–7882 FAX: (202) 226–4623

DISTRICT OFFICE:

6099 SOUTH QUEBEC STREET SUITE 200 CENTENNIAL, CO 80111-4547 MAIN: (720) 283-9772 FAX: (720) 283-9776

LITTLETON OFFICE:

1800 WEST LITTLETON BOULEVARD LITTLETON, CO 80120-2021 MAIN: (720) 283-7575 SENIORS: (720) 283-9026

CASTLE ROCK OFFICE:

240 WILCOX STREET, SUITE 111 CASTLE ROCK, CO 80104-2439 MAIN: (303) 688-3430 FAX: (303) 688-3524

JOHN E. SUNUNU

New Hampshire

DEPUTY WHIP

BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND TRANSPORTATION

FOREIGN RELATIONS

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE



60 PLEASANT STREET BERLIN, NH 03570 (603) 752-6074

50 Opera House Square Claremont, NH 03743 (603) 542–4872

1589 ELM STREET, SUITE 3 MANCHESTER, NH 03101 (603) 647-7500

One New Hampshire Avenue, Suite 120 Portsmouth, NH 03801 (603) 430–9560

111 RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20510 (202) 224–2841

March 1, 2007

Mr. Gerard Beloin PO Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070

Dear Mr. Beloin,

Enclosed is a copy of the letter Senator Sununu has received from the U.S. Department of Justice. I hope that the information provides the assistance that you require.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any other concerns.

Sincerely,

John F. Ellis Staff Assistan

Gerard Beloin P.O. Box 304 New Boston, NH 03070 603-487-5049

Ms. Linda Tomlinson U.S. Attorney Tom Coluntuono Assistant U.S. Attorney Mark Irish NH Attorney Generals Office 55 Pleasant St. Concord, NH 03301 March 5, 2007

Dear Ms. Tomlinson,

I want to thank you and Attorney Mark Irish for taking the time to me on the morning of February 22. I'm encouraged by the thought that an investigator has been assigned to this case. My Guardian Angel, who has been keeping me two steps ahead of these criminals, claims to have more evidence of even more crimes. This is truly an organized crime syndicate being run by law enforcement officers. An interview with me will open your eyes to the following crimes that I can prove were committed by criminals masquerading as law enforcement officers.

- 1. Grand larceny in the theft of millions of NH and Federal education funding money in the Goffstown High School reconstruction project.
- 2. Racketeering in order to cover up the theft.
- 3. Extortion admitted to on the recordings by Mr. Janigan.
- 4. Criminal threatening by a "personal messenger" for Prosecutor Steckowych and other Goffstown Officials stating that police officers will shoot me dead if I don't shut up.
- 5. The cover up of these crimes by Chief Investigator Michael Bahan. The same investigator that covered up the crimes committed by Judge John Fairbanks.

Following are the crimes recorded on tape that Prosecutor Steckowych's personal messenger claims to have committed that scream for an investigation.

- 1. The murder of Dr. Hieber.
- 2. The cover up of these crimes by New Boston Police Chief Krajenka
- 3. Arson by the Goffstown Police Chief Michael French.
- 4. The use of hospitals by organized crime to launder the dead bodies.
- 5. The granting of radical easements by the Goffstown Zoning Board in exchange for kickbacks.

I'm eagerly waiting.

Grard Beloin

Attached is a digital copy of the file left with you on Feb 22. This will make if easier for you to disseminate.

Sincerely,

Gerard Beloin

From: "Tomlinson, Linda \(USANH\)"

<Linda.Tomlinson~usdoj.gov>
Date: March 6, 2007 8:50:08 AM EST

To: "Gerard Beloin" <bluestone25@adelphia.net>

Subject: RE: Meeting on Feb 22

Dear Mr. Beloin

Thank you very much for sending an electronic version. You are correct, this will make it much easier to forward. Linda

Original Message

From:Gerard Beloin [mailto: bluestone25@adelphia.net]

Sent: Monday, March 05, 2007 9:41 PM

To: Tomlinson, Linda (USANH)

Cc: Colantouno Thomas; Irish, Mark (USANH)

Subject: Meeting on Feb 22

Dear Ms. Tom linson,

Attached is a letter addressed to you and Attorney Colantuono. This is part one of a three part e-mail because it is too big to send in one file. I'm sending you a digital copy of the paper file left with you on Feb 22. This will make it easier for you to disseminate.

Please keep in mind that this is organized crime and it is here in NH in the worse possible way. It is being run by politicians and law enforcement officers and lawyers.

Gerard Beloin

627:1, 627:4(I), 627:7, 627:8 of TITLE LXII CRIMINAL CODE CHAPTER 627 JUSTIFICATION

627:1 General Rule. – Conduct which is justifiable under this chapter constitutes a defense to any offense. The fact that such conduct is justifiable shall constitute a complete defense to any civil action based on such conduct.

627:4 (I) Physical Force in Defense of a Person. – A person is justified in using non-deadly force upon another person in order to defend himself or a third person from what he reasonably believes to be the imminent use of unlawful, non-deadly force by such other person, and he may use a degree of such force which he reasonably believes to be necessary for such purpose.

627:7 Use of Force in Defense of Premises. – A person in possession or control of premises or a person who is licensed or privileged to be thereon is justified in using non-deadly force upon another when and to the extent that he reasonably believes it necessary to prevent or terminate the commission of a criminal trespass by such other in or upon such premises, but he may use deadly force under such circumstances only in defense of a person as prescribed in RSA 627:4 or when he reasonably believes it necessary to prevent an attempt by the trespasser to commit

arson.

627:8 Use of Force in Property Offenses. – A person is justified in using force upon another when and to the extent that he reasonably believes it necessary to prevent what is or reasonably appears to be an unlawful taking of his property, or criminal mischief, or to retake his property immediately following its taking; but he may use deadly force under such circumstances only in defense of a person as prescribed in RSA 627:4.

From: Gerard Beloin

 duestone25@comcast.net>

Subject: E-mail summary

Date: July 9, 2008 2:34:21 PM EDT

To: Al Baldasaro <al.baldasaro@leg.state.nh.us>



From: <u>bluestone25@comcast.net</u>

Subject: Re: Organized crime in NH law enforcement

Date: June 6, 2008 6:47:30 PM EDT

To: deb@debpiqnatelli.com

Cc: dpignatelli@nh.gov, rburton@gov.state.nh.us, b.hollingworth@comcast.net, ray.burton@gov.state.nh.us, shea@nh.gov, rwieczorek@nh.gov, bhollingworth@nh.gov, mike@kaelin.net, bluestone25@comcast.net, kelly.ayotte@doj.nh.gov, pwerme@comcast.net, al.baldasaro@leg.state.nh.us, sheila.roberge@leg.state.nh.us

Dear Councilor Pignatelli,

You are right, prosecuting them is not your job and *I am truly grateful*, for you sounding the alarm to US Attorney Tom Colantuono. However, finding out how they are stealing the taxpayer dollars and preventing them from stealing more is your responsibility. They have been in possession of these recordings since March of 2005 and they have done nothing. While they are doing nothing the money continues to be stolen on a grand scale. I can show you how the money is being stolen and how to stop them with very little effort on your part.

Would you be willing to join other members of the Executive Council to sit down with me for an hour at your next executive Council meeting to allow me to show you how "these guys" are robbing the NH taxpayers blind of tens of millions of dollars per year through a sophisticated bid rigging scheme that has been proven to be <u>organized crime by the State of NJ Commission of Investigation?</u>
Again. They are not threatening to kill me me because I don't know anything. They are threatening to kill me because I can prove everything about how they are stealing the NH taxpayers money. That part **is** your responsibility to be involved in.

Your trust in NH law enforcement is commendable but considering the evidence presented to you by me and <u>what is happening in other states</u>, I would <u>advise you to develop a little healthy cynicism</u>.

You forgot to respond to my request to copy me your e-mail correspondence with Attorney Colantuono like NH State Reps Mike Kaelin and Al Baldasaro are doing for me with Attorney Kelly Ayotte. I would very much appreciate a copy of these e-mails for my records.

Addicted to Freedom

Gerard Beloin

On Jun 6, 2008, at 4:21 PM, Debora Pignatelli wrote:

Good day, Mr. Beloin – I spoke at length with US Attorney Thomas Colantuono yesterday. He tells me he is aware of your situation. As much as you would like the Executive Council to get involved in this matter, I do not see it as something I would be involved with except to refer it to our Attorney General or to the US Attorney, which I have already done. Sincerely,

Debora Pignatelli Executive Councilor District 5

From: Gerard Beloin [mailto:bluestone25@comcast.net]

Sent: Friday, June 06, 2008 4:00 PM

To: Debora Pignatelli

Cc: dpignatelli@nh.gov; rburton@gov.state.nh.us; b.hollingworth@comcast.net;

Al Baldasaro; ray.burton4@gte.net; jshea@nh.gov; rwieczorek@nh.gov;

bhollingworth@nh.gov; Mike Kaelin; Gerard Beloin; Kelly Ayotte Attorney; Paula

Werme; Sheila Roberge; Al Baldasaro

Subject: Re: Yesterday

Dear Councilor Pignatelli,

Thank you for your effort but it is a waste of your time. I've been there and done that. US Attorney Tom Colantuono is too busy chasing people who mutilate seals and offering rewards for their capture to be bothered with fellow law enforcement officers bragging on tape about how they gassed Dr. Hieber. He is too busy to be bothered about investigating the possible death and dismemberment of me and my fellow taxpayer watchdogs. Protecting the taxpayers wallet is your job. Since US Attorney Tom Colantuono will not protect this taxpayer it is up to the Executive Council to step up to the plate. If you take

the graft and corruption away the thieves and their reasons for killing will also go away. As of this date there has been no reward offered for evidence leading to the arrest and conviction of the murder of Dr. Hieber. At the US Attorneys Office they are placing a greater value on marine mammals than humans.

All of my e-mails to the US Attorneys Office are being blocked. Could you please copy me your e-mail correspondence to Attorney Colantuono like NH State Reps Mike Kaelin and Al Baldasaro are doing for me with Attorney Kelly Ayotte.

The number of hits that my web page is experiencing is increasing exponentially. During an election year, being in front of this is better than being behind it.

I'm looking for justice and protection for my family and for myself. If you research my web page you will see an affidavit from my 88 year old mother stating, under oath, that "these guys" are threatening her. I'm looking for some similar type of courage from this Executive Council. Councilor Burton, my mother lives in your district. What are you going to do to protect her??

Give me an hour of your time for a powerpoint presentation at your next Executive Council meeting on June 25th. I assure that what I have to show you will shock you and force you to reassess how you approach your spending priorities.

Addicted to Freedom

Gerard Beloin

On Jun 5, 2008, at 3:04 PM, Debora Pignatelli wrote:

Dear Mr. Beloin –I thought your email should be seen by the US Attorney and have forwarded it to them.

As you know, I am not in law enforcement. This is the best way I know to handle this. situation.

Debora Pignatelli Executive Councilor District 5 From: <u>bluestone25@comcast.net</u>
Subject: Re: Latest motions

Date: June 5, 2008 12:58:43 PM EDT

To: debora.pignatelli@nh.gov

Cc: <u>dpignatelli@nh.gov</u>, <u>rburton@gov.state.nh.us</u>, <u>b.hollingworth@comcast.net</u>, <u>ray.burton4@gte.net</u>, <u>jshea@nh.gov</u>, <u>rwieczorek@nh.gov</u>, <u>bhollingworth@nh.gov</u>, <u>bluestone25@comcast.net</u>, <u>kelly.ayotte@doj.nh.gov</u>, <u>pwerme@comcast.net</u>,

livia.acdan@leg.state.nh.us

Dear Executive Council members,

I want to thank you for taking a few minutes of your time to meet with me yesterday. Special thanks for Councilor Pignatelli for pressing my point to Attorney General Kelly Ayotte. This is <u>organized crime in NH law enforcement</u> and I can prove it. Not only can I prove it, it has happened before with some of the same players involved in the Judge John Fairbanks case. <u>Chief Investigator G. Michael Bahan at the NH AG's Office is now gone. He is no where to be found and the NH AGs Office will not divulge his new address.</u>

Councilor Wieczorek, I applaud you for pressing the people at the DCYS for trying to save a few thousand dollars on automobile repairs. Every dollar counts. But that is penny anti compared to what is being stolen right from under you noses through a sophisticated big rigging scheme run by architects and engineers. Please apply that same attitude to the approval of school funding money. I can prove approximately \$1 million was stolen in the 2002 Goffstown High School renovation contract that I was intimately involved in. I have evidence of \$6 million being stolen. The Chairman of the Goffstown School Board, Dr. Craig Hieber took my side. He soon dropped dead. "These guys" describe how they poisoned him.

In <u>Guilford</u>, <u>NH</u> they built a huge Lowes from the ground up for \$6.3 million. The executive <u>Council just approved \$10,000,000 for renovations and a small addition to the Manchester Vo Tech</u>. My educated guess is that the true cost of the project is in the \$1 million dollar range. At the writing of this letter, several millions of taxpayer dollars are being stolen. In 1998 or 1999 an RFP was put out by Tim Clougherty. The Army Corps of Engineers estimated that it would cost approximately \$3 million to re-roof the Manchester School of Technology. My bid was for \$40,000. Not \$400,000. **\$40,000**. My bid was rejected because I was not "pre-approved" by the Gale Engineers. I made a stink about it. The perps were spooked and the work was never done. I have all of this documented.

In 1998 Gale Engineering was fired from a private construction project. They rigged the bids in the \$500,000 range. My bid was \$130,000. They told the

building owner that my demonstrations were nothing more than magic tricks. The owner agreed to meet with me because he liked magic tricks. Within 1 hour I had the contract signed with a deposit in my hand. What the private sector was able to weed out in 1 hour, the State of NH has not been able to exorcise in 10 years.

"These guys" are not threatening to kill me because I know nothing. <u>"These guys" are threatening to kill me because I can prove everything.</u>

I believe I can save the State of NH at approximately \$100,000,000 a year is school funding money by eliminating fraud. What I have uncovered here has already been proven to be true in NJ.

If you are serious about controlling State spending, the Executive Council will allow me an hour of your time for a powerpoint presentation to expose the intricacies of this scam being perpetuated on the NH taxpayers by "these guys". "These guys" are members of organized crime. For me and members of my family, it is a matter of life and death. Please listen to all of the recordings and you will see that I am not exaggerating.

Thank you for allowing me to bend your ear after the Executive Council meeting. <u>I'm asking all of you to do the right thing.</u> "It's not always easy doing the right thing" but I believe you are all up to the task.

A matter of life and death,

Addicted to Freedom

Gerard Beloin

On May 29, 2008, at 10:55 AM, Gerard Beloin wrote:

From: <u>bluestone25@comcast.net</u>

Subject: Fwd: Latest motions

Date: May 29, 2008 10:55:01 AM EDT

To: <u>debora.pignatelli@nh.gov</u>, <u>dpignatelli@nh.gov</u>

Cc: <u>rburton@gov.state.nh.us</u>, <u>b.hollingworth@comcast.net</u>,

ray.burton4@gte.net, jshea@nh.gov, rwieczorek@nh.gov, bhollingworth@nh.gov

At what point??

Begin forwarded message:

From: Gerard Beloin < bluestone25@comcast.net >

Date: May 29, 2008 10:19:05 AM EDT

To: Pamela Manney < Pammanney@yahoo.com>

Cc: David Essex < davidessex@comcast.net >, Lori Movsesian

<movsesian28@yahoo.com>, Michael Farley <mike@hciw.net>, Bea Francoeur

<beerdorlife@comcast.net</pre>>, Neil Kurk <kurk@leg.state.nh.us>, Eric Rochette

< <u>Epr03060@yahoo.com</u>>, Cindy Rosenwald

< <u>Cindy.rosenwald@leg.state.nh.us</u>>, Robert Rowe < <u>hdrowe@adelphia.net</u>>,

Barbara Shaw <<u>beshaw3@aol.com</u>>, Anthony Simon

Subject: Fwd: Latest motions

Dear All,

At what point does law enforcement start enforcing the laws and the justice system start meting out justice? Sadly, in this no brainer of a case, we still don't know the answer.

In this case, at what point do NH elected officials sworn to uphold the NH Constitution start doing their jobs??

"I do solemnly and sincerely swear and affirm that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all duties incumbent on me according to the best of my abilities, agreeably to the rules and regulations of this constitution and laws of the state of New Hampshire. So help me God."

Begin forwarded message:

From: Gerard Beloin < bluestone25@comcast.net >

Date: May 29, 2008 10:00:02 AM EDT

To: Al Baldasaro < mbaldasaro@comcast.net >

Cc: Mike Kaelin < mike@kaelin.net >

Subject: Latest motions

Dear Al and Mike,

Here are my two latest motions. Because of computer technology, you are getting this before the courts and the lawyers get it. Isn't technology great?! The 1st motion is a 10th Motion to Dismiss that cites the recently discovered breach of piece laws, chapter and verse. According to the statutes, not only did I do nothing wrong, I was actually doing what was expected of me as a good citizen. I committed an act of public service by exposing crimes that have an adverse effect on the public health and safety of our

school children.

The 2nd motion is a Motion for Default Judgment. While I'm being chastised by this corrupt and biased judge for being a few minutes late for a hearing, the State has taken over 3 months to respond to my previous motions when they are allowed only 10 days. This has happened 6 times. In this motion I'm disclosing another quote from one of my well-connected informants. "If you're dead, Prosecutor Ouellet wins. They are running you in circles until organized crime does their job so that they won't have to do theirs." Again, this is proof positive that the prosecution and the courts are acting in concert with organized crime in order to protect one of their own, Prosecutor Kerry Steckowych, from the crimes, admitted to on tape by his "personal messenger", of grand theft larceny, extortion, criminal threatening, racketeering, arson and murder.

At what point does law enforcement start enforcing the laws and the justice system start meting out justice? Sadly, in this no brainer of a case, we still don't know the answer.

Please stay on top of Mr. Agati. I have not heard from anyone at the NH AGs Office. Is anyone calling the FBI??

Addicted to Freedom Gerard

From: "AL Baldasaro" <mbaldasaro@comcast.net>
Subject: RE: Organized crime in NH law enforcement

Date: April 1, 2008 10:43:26 AM EDT

To: "Gerard Beloin" <bluestone25@comcast.net>, "Kelly Ayotte Attorney"

<kelly.ayotte@doj.nh.gov>

Cc: "Mike Kaelin" <mike@kaelin.net>, "'Howard Wilson" <stoneanarch@tds.net>,

"John Babiarz" <jbabiarz@endor.com>

Attorney General,

I would like to re-enforce Gerard's accusation's towards the below mention people.

I have listened to some of Gerard tapes, viewed many of his documents and I believe that something is not right here and would request your office look into this situation ASAP.

There are conversations about murder, intimidation, arsonist, poisoning and potential of kidnapping from NH to NJ.

I am not a lawyer but feel that if all is true and verified, your office needs to look into these allegations before someone gets hurt.

Thank You,

AL Baldasaro State Representative Rockingham District 3 (Auburn & Londonderry) (603) 425-6997

----Original Message-----

From: Gerard Beloin [mailto:bluestone25@comcast.net]

Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2008 12:40 AM

To: Kelly Ayotte Attorney

Cc: Mike Kaelin; Al Baldasaro; Howard Wilson; John Babiarz

Subject: Organized crime in NH law enforcement

Dear Attorney Ayotte,

It was recommended to me by NH State Representatives Mike Kaelin and Al Baldasaro that I forward these recordings to you

. I'm available for an interview and so are some of my informants as soon as you start making arrests. Mr. Janigan and Prosecutor Steckowych would be a good place to start.

Keep in mind that these are all agents for the state that we are talking about.

Gerard Beloin

From: Gerard Beloin bluestone25@comcast.net

Subject: Fwd: Gerard Beloin

Date: April 29, 2008 11:26:13 PM EDT



Begin forwarded message:

From: "Rep. Mike Kaelin" < mike.kaelin@leq.state.nh.us >

Date: April 25, 2008 2:20:15 PM EDT

To: "Gerard Beloin" < bluestone25@comcast.net >

Subject: FW: Gerard Beloin

Reply-To: < Mike.Kaelin@leg.state.nh.us >

FYI

Hon. Mike Kaelin

NH House of Representatives

Mike.Kaelin@leg.state.nh.us

Hillsborough District 4

Science, Technology, and Energy Committee

From: Rep. Mike Kaelin [mailto:mike.kaelin@leg.state.nh.us]

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 10:57 AM

To: 'paul.brodeur@doj.nh.gov'

Cc: 'Baldasaro, Al'; 'kelly.ayotte@doj.nh.gov'

Subject: RE: Gerard Beloin

Hi Attorney Brodeur,

It's been almost a month since I contacted you regarding Mr. Beloin's case. When can we set up a meeting to discuss this? If you're not the right person to set this up,

who should I contact?

Thanks,

Representative Michael Kaelin.

Hon. Mike Kaelin
NH House of Representatives
Mike.Kaelin@leg.state.nh.us
Hillsborough District 4
Science, Technology, and Energy Committee

From: Rep. Mike Kaelin [mailto:mike.kaelin@leg.state.nh.us]

Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2008 4:43 PM

To: 'paul.brodeur@doj.nh.gov'

Cc: 'Baldasaro, Al'

Subject: RE: Gerard Beloin

Hi Attorney Brodeur,

Have you had a chance to review any of Mr. Beloin's case? Rep. Baldasaro and I would like to meet with you when you have a chance. I understand that Mr. Beloin can't be present because of ongoing legal proceedings, but we would both like to review his case with you.

Thanks,

Rep. Mike Kaelin.

Hon. Mike Kaelin
NH House of Representatives
Mike.Kaelin@leg.state.nh.us
Hillsborough District 4
Science, Technology, and Energy Committee

From: Rep. Mike Kaelin [mailto:mike.kaelin@leq.state.nh.us]

Sent: Monday, March 31, 2008 11:21 AM

To: 'paul.brodeur@doj.nh.gov'

Cc: 'Baldasaro, Al'

Subject: Gerard Beloin

Hi Attorney Brodeur,

I've attached my notes from an interview with Mr. Beloin from last February. I have copies of items mentioned in the interview, including audio recordings, letters sent back and forth, and some of the court orders. I haven't kept up with it too much over the last year, so anything that's happened since then is not included in this interview. I do know that Mr. Beloin was recently required to take a competency hearing, and was deemed competent by a court-ordered doctor.

Here is a link to a document that is more or less a history of Gerard Beloin's case. It has links to just about everything that's related to this case. This is located on Mr. Beloin's website.

http://www.bluestonepremiumroofingsystemsinc.com/2007-12-28%20-%20REVOKED%20-%20No%20trial%20by%20a%20jury%20of%20my%20peers.htm

Given the perceived threats, Mr. Beloin's wife has concerns for the safety of her family and friends. The harassment by law enforcement officials and lack of action regarding the threats has clearly taken a toll on the family's sense of security and Mr. Beloin's business. I appreciate your help in resolving this matter. I am copying Rep. Baldasaro because he has also taken an interest in Mr. Beloin's case.

Thanks, Rep. Mike Kaelin. Hon. Mike Kaelin
NH House of Representatives
Mike.Kaelin@leg.state.nh.us
Hillsborough District 4
Science, Technology, and Energy Committee